

Mr. GIBB said, that they wanted the assurance now that they would have the money, otherwise the opening of the building would be delayed very much. If they knew that the money would be appropriated it would be sufficient for the present.

	5th September to 31st March, 1868.	1st January to 31st March, 1868.	1st April to 31st March, 1868.
Convicted...	67	27	14
Discharged..	15	15	7

Mr. Keswick said there was another institution deserving of some notice, that was Sailors' Home, and he now referred more particularly to an exemption from the police lighting rates.

H. E. THE GOVERNOR.
THE COLONIAL SECRETARY.
THE ACTING COLONIAL TREASURER.
THE ACTING ATTORNEY-GENERAL.
THE AUDITOR-GENERAL.
HON. W. KESWICK.
HON. H. B. GIBB.

Appropriation for 1868.
An Ordinance enacted by the Governor of Hongkong, with the Advice of the Legislative Council thereof, to apply a Sum not exceeding Eight hundred and Thirty-seven thousand Five hundred and Sixty of the Revenue of the Colony to the following purposes:

suggestion of the Justices of the Peace, that the present law was considered somewhat stringent, and did not give room for the issue of a licence unless the person applying established a public-house. This was objected to in many localities, and the Ordinance now before the Council would give power to the Justices to assign different descriptions of licence

Thirty-seven thousand Dollars shall be, and the same is hereby charged upon the Revenue of the Colony for the Service of the Year 1868, and the Sum so charged shall be expended as hereinafter specified; that is to say —

Civil Establishments. —

The Governor said that the Ordinance that was next in order for their consideration was framed for the consolidation of the law, and practices now in force, as to define some of the new provisions now to be made. He was aware there was subject to which more importance could be given.

Collector of Stamp Revenue	9
Judicial Establishments	13
Registrar Companies	21
Ecclesiastical Establishments	21
Educational do.	21
Medical do.	21
Police Magistrates	18
Police	18

328.00	menting of the Government in the
217.00	legislation, and of several clauses
134.00	ordinance. It was most desirable
1,192.00	present Ordinance should be a complete
602.00	consolidating in fact the entire rules
3,844.00	guidance of builders, and not making it necessary
3,462.00	as the Council might otherwise be compelled
	to resort to by-passing intended Ordinance

Total,	\$8
--------	-----

36,324.80 | although not yet in
houses should be sufficiently strong.

the 1990s, the number of people in the world who are under 15 years of age is expected to increase from 1.1 billion to 1.5 billion. The number of people aged 65 and over is expected to increase from 200 million to 400 million. The number of people aged 15 and over is expected to increase from 3.5 billion to 4.5 billion. The number of people aged 15 and over is expected to increase from 3.5 billion to 4.5 billion. The number of people aged 15 and over is expected to increase from 3.5 billion to 4.5 billion.

1. *Chlorophyll a* (Chl *a*)

SECRET

the 1990s, the number of people in the world who are illiterate has increased from 400 million to 600 million. The number of illiterate people in the world is expected to reach 700 million by the year 2015. The number of illiterate people in the world is expected to reach 800 million by the year 2020. The number of illiterate people in the world is expected to reach 900 million by the year 2025. The number of illiterate people in the world is expected to reach 1 billion by the year 2030. The number of illiterate people in the world is expected to reach 1.1 billion by the year 2035. The number of illiterate people in the world is expected to reach 1.2 billion by the year 2040. The number of illiterate people in the world is expected to reach 1.3 billion by the year 2045. The number of illiterate people in the world is expected to reach 1.4 billion by the year 2050. The number of illiterate people in the world is expected to reach 1.5 billion by the year 2055. The number of illiterate people in the world is expected to reach 1.6 billion by the year 2060. The number of illiterate people in the world is expected to reach 1.7 billion by the year 2065. The number of illiterate people in the world is expected to reach 1.8 billion by the year 2070. The number of illiterate people in the world is expected to reach 1.9 billion by the year 2075. The number of illiterate people in the world is expected to reach 2 billion by the year 2080. The number of illiterate people in the world is expected to reach 2.1 billion by the year 2085. The number of illiterate people in the world is expected to reach 2.2 billion by the year 2090. The number of illiterate people in the world is expected to reach 2.3 billion by the year 2095. The number of illiterate people in the world is expected to reach 2.4 billion by the year 2100.

1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018, 2019, 2020, 2021, 2022, 2023, 2024, 2025, 2026, 2027, 2028, 2029, 2030, 2031, 2032, 2033, 2034, 2035, 2036, 2037, 2038, 2039, 2040, 2041, 2042, 2043, 2044, 2045, 2046, 2047, 2048, 2049, 2050, 2051, 2052, 2053, 2054, 2055, 2056, 2057, 2058, 2059, 2060, 2061, 2062, 2063, 2064, 2065, 2066, 2067, 2068, 2069, 2070, 2071, 2072, 2073, 2074, 2075, 2076, 2077, 2078, 2079, 2080, 2081, 2082, 2083, 2084, 2085, 2086, 2087, 2088, 2089, 2090, 2091, 2092, 2093, 2094, 2095, 2096, 2097, 2098, 2099, 2100, 2101, 2102, 2103, 2104, 2105, 2106, 2107, 2108, 2109, 2110, 2111, 2112, 2113, 2114, 2115, 2116, 2117, 2118, 2119, 2120, 2121, 2122, 2123, 2124, 2125, 2126, 2127, 2128, 2129, 2130, 2131, 2132, 2133, 2134, 2135, 2136, 2137, 2138, 2139, 2140, 2141, 2142, 2143, 2144, 2145, 2146, 2147, 2148, 2149, 2150, 2151, 2152, 2153, 2154, 2155, 2156, 2157, 2158, 2159, 2160, 2161, 2162, 2163, 2164, 2165, 2166, 2167, 2168, 2169, 2170, 2171, 2172, 2173, 2174, 2175, 2176, 2177, 2178, 2179, 2180, 2181, 2182, 2183, 2184, 2185, 2186, 2187, 2188, 2189, 2190, 2191, 2192, 2193, 2194, 2195, 2196, 2197, 2198, 2199, 2200, 2201, 2202, 2203, 2204, 2205, 2206, 2207, 2208, 2209, 2210, 2211, 2212, 2213, 2214, 2215, 2216, 2217, 2218, 2219, 2220, 2221, 2222, 2223, 2224, 2225, 2226, 2227, 2228, 2229, 2230, 2231, 2232, 2233, 2234, 2235, 2236, 2237, 2238, 2239, 2240, 2241, 2242, 2243, 2244, 2245, 2246, 2247, 2248, 2249, 2250, 2251, 2252, 2253, 2254, 2255, 2256, 2257, 2258, 2259, 2260, 2261, 2262, 2263, 2264, 2265, 2266, 2267, 2268, 2269, 2270, 2271, 2272, 2273, 2274, 2275, 2276, 2277, 2278, 2279, 2280, 2281, 2282, 2283, 2284, 2285, 2286, 2287, 2288, 2289, 2290, 2291, 2292, 2293, 2294, 2295, 2296, 2297, 2298, 2299, 2300, 2301, 2302, 2303, 2304, 2305, 2306, 2307, 2308, 2309, 2310, 2311, 2312, 2313, 2314, 2315, 2316, 2317, 2318, 2319, 2320, 2321, 2322, 2323, 2324, 2325, 2326, 2327, 2328, 2329, 2330, 2331, 2332, 2333, 2334, 2335, 2336, 2337, 2338, 2339, 2340, 2341, 2342, 2343, 2344, 2345, 2346, 2347, 2348, 2349, 2350, 2351, 2352, 2353, 2354, 2355, 2356, 2357, 2358, 2359, 2360, 2361, 2362, 2363, 2364, 2365, 2366, 2367, 2368, 2369, 2370, 2371, 2372, 2373, 2374, 2375, 2376, 2377, 2378, 2379, 2380, 2381, 2382, 2383, 2384, 2385, 2386, 2387, 2388, 2389, 2390, 2391, 2392, 2393, 2394, 2395, 2396, 2397, 2398, 2399, 2400, 2401, 2402, 2403, 2404, 2405, 2406, 2407, 2408, 2409, 2410, 2411, 2412, 2413, 2414, 2415, 2416, 2417, 2418, 2419, 2420, 2421, 2422, 2423, 2424, 2425, 2426, 2427, 2428, 2429, 2430, 2431, 2432, 2433, 2434, 2435, 2436, 2437, 2438, 2439, 2440, 2441, 2442, 2443, 2444, 2445, 2446, 2447, 2448, 2449, 2450, 2451, 2452, 2453, 2454, 2455, 2456, 2457, 2458, 2459, 2460, 2461, 2462, 2463, 2464, 2465, 2466, 2467, 2468, 2469, 2470, 2471, 2472, 2473, 2474, 2475, 2476, 2477, 2478, 2479, 2480, 2481, 2482, 2483, 2484, 2485, 2486, 2487, 2488, 2489, 2490, 2491, 2492, 2493, 2494, 2495, 2496, 2497, 2498, 2499, 2500, 2501, 2502, 2503, 2504, 2505, 2506, 2507, 2508, 2509, 2510, 2511, 2512, 2513, 2514, 2515, 2516, 2517, 2518, 2519, 2520, 2521, 2522, 2523, 2524, 2525, 2526, 2527, 2528, 2529, 2530, 2531, 2532, 2533, 2534, 2535, 2536, 2537, 2538, 2539, 2540, 2541, 2542, 2543, 2544, 2545, 2546, 2547, 2548, 2549, 2550, 2551, 2552, 2553, 2554, 2555, 2556, 2557, 2558, 2559, 2560, 2561, 2562, 2563, 2564, 2565, 2566, 2567, 2568, 2569, 2570, 2571, 2572, 2573, 2574, 2575, 2576, 2577, 2578, 2579, 2580, 2581, 2582, 2583, 2584, 2585, 2586, 2587, 2588, 2589, 2590, 2591, 2592, 2593, 2594, 2595, 2596, 2597, 2598, 2599, 2600, 2601, 2602, 2603, 2604, 2605, 2606, 2607, 2608, 2609, 2610, 2611, 2612, 2613, 2614, 2615, 2616, 2617, 2618, 2619, 2620, 2621, 2622, 2623, 2624, 2625, 2626, 2627, 2628, 2629, 2630, 2631, 2632, 2633, 2634, 2635, 2636, 2637, 2638, 2639, 2640, 2641, 2642, 2643, 2644, 2645, 2646, 2647, 2648, 2649, 2650, 2651, 2652, 2653, 2654, 2655, 2656, 2657, 2658, 2659, 2660, 2661, 2662, 2663, 2664, 2665, 2666, 2667, 2668, 2669, 2670, 2671, 2672, 2673, 2674, 2675, 2676, 2677, 2678, 26

Age Group	1970	1980	1990	2000	2010	2020
0-14	20	18	15	12	10	10
15-24	15	14	13	12	11	10
25-34	12	11	10	9	8	7
35-44	10	9	8	7	6	5
45-54	8	7	6	5	4	3
55-64	6	5	4	3	2	1
65-74	4	3	2	1	1	2
75+	5	6	7	8	10	20

Year	Japan	Germany	France	Italy	Spain	Sweden	Canada	United States
1950	15	12	10	8	7	6	5	4
1960	18	15	12	10	9	8	6	5
1970	22	18	15	12	11	10	8	6
1980	25	20	17	14	13	12	10	7
1990	27	22	19	16	15	14	12	8
2000	28	24	21	18	17	15	13	9
2010	28	25	22	19	18	16	14	10
2020	28	25	22	20	18	15	12	10

100

the 1990s, the number of people in the world who are under 15 years of age is expected to increase from 1.1 billion to 1.5 billion. The number of people aged 65 and over is expected to increase from 200 million to 400 million. The number of people aged 15 and over is expected to increase from 3.5 billion to 4.5 billion. The number of people aged 15 and over is expected to increase from 3.5 billion to 4.5 billion. The number of people aged 15 and over is expected to increase from 3.5 billion to 4.5 billion.

public mind of, and that was that the Ordinance throw on the Governor too much, in fact, whole control over the buildings of houses in colony. This idea, it seemed to him, had, ar- solely because the name of the Governor peared often in the bill. He must say

of the public that he should have the selection of the officer best qualified to carry out the duties of the work. There was another suggestion, viz., that the new Ordinance alters the thickness of walls, &c., but that was not so, the enactment would only consolidate practice, and would not change the existing regulations of the Colony. It must not be

second record-
at one sitting. The
the gentlemen who had drawn out
had spent much time and labour
it. There were very many points which
quired more discussion, but, at present,
was no sufficient opportunity or time
would therefore suggest that a com-
sion should be appointed to enquire

three official members, and added to Surveyor-General and Mr. Clark. To make a very strong commission, and to be able to deal with the subject in a exhaustive manner. - There was no hurry Ordinance coming into force, for by a sudden wind as it were the more important part of the work was already in operation.

Figure 1

1

was at the present time
of Policy of this nation
were not passed it was
for him to return and
hate again. It must
pension was being gra-
they had cut off all his

pre-
sident for the ex-
man, although not
can do nothing for y
to be only one course
the present Ordinance
like to know what oth
posed. Mr. Quin was a

There being no time
was read a second time
EMIGRATION
On the second reading
The Governor's re-
ordinance was one that
the Colonial office, as he
—and this occasion had

that it should be treated differently to some compensation for he might have received been practised upon him sufficient prospect of reward to induce these men for the purpose of getting

Figure 1

100

100

1

100

100

[illegible][illegible]

In a matter so objectionable as they thought to discuss the fact. He had himself stated to the Secretary of the Colonization Society that the Stamp duty the money required to meet the liability on account of the Military Contribution, the community could not justly be charged with being influenced by selfish motives in dealing with a fund whose existence was the result of a policy which had proved the most effective. That the only available policy measure to put down many of the most dangerous evils, to diminish the taxes of the Colony with it, was quite another thing. What possible object could it have in maintaining this connection with the public welfare. He could derive no benefit from it, but, on the other hand, much personal abuse, and was it supposed that he would lay himself open to all this if he did not feel he was doing right and that he did not regard, however, the abuse which some had seen fit to heap upon him; those who were religiously inclined calling him anti-Chinese, and others who had probably suffered in the present state of trade calling him a tyrant. All seemed to have been having a good time at him, as though a death warrant was a sort of a "Joke" and he hoped it had been a "Joke" and he most prepared to have been speaking of himself and he would inquire would a person expose himself to all this for personal gratification and not from a sense of duty? The public service had been started for two years, and a direct taxation by the Stamp Act, then most people seemed to realize. A full reference had been made to the \$10,000 to be spent for a Colonial steamer, and Mr. Kewitt's acquiescence in this public opinion was very much against it. He might perhaps like to have public opinion as with him, but what of the public opinion that Mr. Kewitt must? Public opinion No. 1, had declared that an ordinary Chinese junk was not unprofitable for the Colony. That public opinion had lasted nearly two years. He had always quite agreed with that public opinion, but because he had up funds and certain duties and had to be done, he had acted as he thought was right, and had made a junk to carry on these duties. In the same way he should have done as he thought right, whether a good law or bad. He was in fact supporting the public opinion of only a very recent period, by getting rid of this Chinese appearance, which he had always admitted to be very far from what the Colony ought to have. The fact, that he was now left with the advice of the Colonization Council, for a new vessel, and it would be for the Council to determine whether it should be a complete one, and whether it should be a steam or sailing vessel. The contract now being carried out was for a sailing vessel, the annual expense of which to the Colony would be about \$18,000. If he were to be a steamer the annual expense would be over \$18,000. It had long been said that the present junk was a disgrace to the Colony, and he could testify that she was dangerous and unseaworthy. He presumed the Council did not intend to let the public opinion go, which a few took a pleasure in discussing, but which he was consulting his own mind, comfort or convenience. It was an actual necessity for the service of the Colony, and whether they had a steam or sailing vessel, some craft, built to be used by the Harbour Master's department, located out the laws of the Colony by frequently visiting the various out-stations, should always have been made to the fees paid by the native vessels under the Harbour Ordinance. He would therefore say that the desire of the service for government was from the first to fit them as low as possible, but the service enabled a recovery of the expense of being maintained, with a view to the suppression of piracy, and therefore the fees could hardly be put any lower than the present collection under them. The Chinese had from time to time made various objections to the provision he had made able one by one to satisfy them, till they had recently only one left, namely the objection that the large numbers required to away the fact and therefore they wished them to be painted on outside boards. This request they it was quite impossible for obvious reasons to comply with. As to the desirability of this

havior so much talked of, so entirely untrue were these statements, that he thought it a duty to state how so many junks called to this Colony even in the present depressed state of trade, and he could assure the Council that it was a striking contrast to the five or six junks in neighboring Colonies. Therefore he thought he must have had a different chart before him when Mr. Kewitt was consulting what he said the Burge of State was steering again for the harbour of bankruptcy. He (the Governor) thought it was undeniable that they were steering at last very directly for a surplus. A fact that would not much interest them, if for once they happened to strike it. Mr. Kewitt said that with regard to the surplus he would show all things like to see water in the colony, and he would like to see the money put in an efficient and substantial mode of repair. He wanted his money spent, but he also wanted to see it spent usefully. He did not want to see it spent on an object that was a burden to form an additional source of expenditure to the Colony. Let it be spent usefully, if it is to be spent at all. He stated that as much money had been appropriated to the objects mentioned by Mr. Kewitt as could be spent within the year. They could not spend any more on the revenue during the year, than the \$50,000 in the Revenue. Nor could more than a similar sum be expended on the Civil. The COLONIAL SECRETARY said that a statement would be given in very great detail to the Council of the public. Mr. Kewitt said that in his financial statement the Governor had mentioned that the number of custom houses that were being established on the opposite shore by the Chinese government, was an additional reason for having a colonial steamer, now he did not see that it was the duty of this Colony to inaugurate any special relations with the Chinese government, or uphold the English policy with regard to China. The Governor said his reason for alluding to the number of junks was very different from what Mr. Kewitt suggested, but he attached very little importance comparatively speaking to this. The great need of the proposed vessel was for the service of the Colony alone. The COLONIAL SECRETARY said he thought a sailing vessel would be quite useless, and he was prepared to justify his opinion in Revenue Council to that effect. The items of the estimates were then read over. In that of roads, streets, and bridges, Mr. Kewitt suggested that the \$23,000 for the new road to the City be set aside. The Governor said he never had any particular fancy for this road. He found it on the estimate when he came to the Colony, and it was then told "Public Opinion" favored this road, and he had always appeared there for in subsequent years in the Estimates, but this was the first opportunity offering when the expenditure could probably be carried out. It was a lobby of the Civil road, however, would in a measure be repaid here to the Colony by creating with sales, but it was thought best to let it stand over for another year, the money could easily be appropriated for a new magazine, that was much needed in connection with new quarters for the Assistant Superintendent of the Gold and the Forestry, as well as some other improvements and additions to the gold. The high price of land was the principal reason that had deterred him from making these very useful improvements. The road could not be deferred for another year, but the buildings which he mentioned were much needed. It had also been determined to build the Civil Hospital gradually on the present site, which was remarkably healthy, and taking all things into consideration was a very desirable site. This was, however, being done slowly and by parts. There was already an appropriation for \$14,000 put down for the new quarters for the medical officers, four present quarters being added to the Hospital. It was accordingly agreed to write out \$25,000 for the new road to the City, and add this amount to the expenditure on works and buildings. The Governor said that with regard to this \$25,000 for special expenses, they might perhaps wish it necessary to grant him to keep up

SUMMARY JURISDICTION COURT.

any longer a Chinese junk. It was in fact a steamer. The remaining items were accordingly read over. Mr. Ginn asked if it would not be well to make an appropriation for the reception of the Duke of Edinburgh, who he understood would visit the Colony in January of next year. The Governor said he had no official information of this. How much would Mr. Ginn put? Mr. Ginn said \$20,000. The Governor said he thought it would be much better, perhaps, in the present uncertainty as to the movements of the Duke of Edinburgh, that the vote should be left for a future period, and he suggested the appropriation be appropriated to the Legislative Council a committee of reception, as they could then discuss the whole matter when it should come up. Questions of expenditure under the authority of the Council, as a reception committee, would be in the most appropriate hands. The matter was accordingly left then, and the Council adjourned sine die.

Court of Summary Jurisdiction, Oct. 13.
Prisoners James H. J. Davis.
"KIN-SHAN" AFFAIR IN COURT.
 Wm. Cotter, Esq., W. Benning—This was the charge for the sum of \$500, charged on the defendant for false imprisonment. Mr. Haythorn, instructed by Mr. Sharp, appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. Pollard, instructed by Mr. Haythorn, appeared for the defendant. Mr. Haythorn in opening the case for the plaintiff said that the facts of this case were already sufficiently notorious, and he would not, therefore, trouble the Court with a detailed explanation from him, but would, however, briefly run through the main points of the case, of the affair which led to this case. The plaintiff was first officer of the Chinese gun-boat *Chin-shan*. The defendant was commander of the river steamer *Kin-shan*, running between this and Canton. On the 25th September last, the *Chin-shan* was being operated and off the Canton house in the Canton river, passing with colors hoisted. The plaintiff, who was in temporary command of the *Chin-shan*, was passing the superior officer, who was absent, that if the *Kin-shan* passed that morning without showing her colors, a gun was to be fired. These orders were carried out by the plaintiff, and as soon as the gun was fired the *Kin-shan* was observed to stop and her machinery, and least towards the *Chin-shan*. This not being the intention with which the gun was fired, plaintiff lowered a boat from the vessel and went on board the *Kin-shan*. The boat was manned by seven men from the gun-boat in uniform. On boarding the steamer, plaintiff was met by the chief officer, who directed him to the cabin on the deck above. On going to the defendant, some conversation took place, when defendant immediately headed for the steamer to be turned round, and at full speed. Plaintiff attempted to leave the steamer, but was stopped by the first officer, who laid his hand on plaintiff's shoulder, and said plaintiff had been detained on board two hours. Plaintiff was taken to the police court, or even handed over to the police. On the return on board of the *Kin-shan*, plaintiff asked if he could go, if he did not wish to be taken to Canton. From this statement of facts Mr. Haythorn contended that this arrest was illegal, and further, that the defendant was liable to be punished, unless it was a felony, any arrest by a private citizen was illegal. In support of this contention Mr. Haythorn cited authorities on the subject of arrest. The arrest was, however, made when plaintiff went on board the steamer, but it did not come within the jurisdiction of this court until the *Kin-shan* entered the limits of the harbor, as soon, however, as he entered the limits of this harbor he was bound to put his prisoner on shore, even if he had to lower a boat to do it and pull a number of miles. He could not detain the plaintiff an instant after he entered the harbor, or of the harbor and if he did so he was detaining him unlawfully and without proper authority. It was not necessary for him now

to go into the question whether the *Chin-shan* was a British ship or not, in what she did, but it certainly was in accordance with the terms of the charter, and the defendant was liable to be punished. Mr. Ginn asked if it would not be well to make an appropriation for the reception of the Duke of Edinburgh, who he understood would visit the Colony in January of next year. The Governor said he had no official information of this. How much would Mr. Ginn put? Mr. Ginn said \$20,000. The Governor said he thought it would be much better, perhaps, in the present uncertainty as to the movements of the Duke of Edinburgh, that the vote should be left for a future period, and he suggested the appropriation be appropriated to the Legislative Council a committee of reception, as they could then discuss the whole matter when it should come up. Questions of expenditure under the authority of the Council, as a reception committee, would be in the most appropriate hands. The matter was accordingly left then, and the Council adjourned sine die.

Mr. Haythorn then offered the commission in Chinese of plaintiff as proof. Mr. Pollard objected to these being taken at face value, and he asked the Court to examine them. Examination continued.—On the morning of the 25th of September, witness was in temporary command of the *Chin-shan*. She was lying off the Canton house in the Canton river, passing with colors hoisted. The plaintiff, who was in temporary command of the *Chin-shan*, was passing the superior officer, who was absent, that if the *Kin-shan* passed that morning without showing her colors, a gun was to be fired. These orders were carried out by the plaintiff, and as soon as the gun was fired the *Kin-shan* was observed to stop and her machinery, and least towards the *Chin-shan*. This not being the intention with which the gun was fired, plaintiff lowered a boat from the vessel and went on board the *Kin-shan*. The boat was manned by seven men from the gun-boat in uniform. On boarding the steamer, plaintiff was met by the chief officer, who directed him to the cabin on the deck above. On going to the defendant, some conversation took place, when defendant immediately headed for the steamer to be turned round, and at full speed. Plaintiff attempted to leave the steamer, but was stopped by the first officer, who laid his hand on plaintiff's shoulder, and said plaintiff had been detained on board two hours. Plaintiff was taken to the police court, or even handed over to the police. On the return on board of the *Kin-shan*, plaintiff asked if he could go, if he did not wish to be taken to Canton. From this statement of facts Mr. Haythorn contended that this arrest was illegal, and further, that the defendant was liable to be punished, unless it was a felony, any arrest by a private citizen was illegal. In support of this contention Mr. Haythorn cited authorities on the subject of arrest. The arrest was, however, made when plaintiff went on board the steamer, but it did not come within the jurisdiction of this court until the *Kin-shan* entered the limits of the harbor, as soon, however, as he entered the limits of this harbor he was bound to put his prisoner on shore, even if he had to lower a boat to do it and pull a number of miles. He could not detain the plaintiff an instant after he entered the harbor, or of the harbor and if he did so he was detaining him unlawfully and without proper authority. It was not necessary for him now

to go into the question whether the *Chin-shan* was a British ship or not, in what she did, but it certainly was in accordance with the terms of the charter, and the defendant was liable to be punished. Mr. Ginn asked if it would not be well to make an appropriation for the reception of the Duke of Edinburgh, who he understood would visit the Colony in January of next year. The Governor said he had no official information of this. How much would Mr. Ginn put? Mr. Ginn said \$20,000. The Governor said he thought it would be much better, perhaps, in the present uncertainty as to the movements of the Duke of Edinburgh, that the vote should be left for a future period, and he suggested the appropriation be appropriated to the Legislative Council a committee of reception, as they could then discuss the whole matter when it should come up. Questions of expenditure under the authority of the Council, as a reception committee, would be in the most appropriate hands. The matter was accordingly left then, and the Council adjourned sine die.

Mr. Haythorn then offered the commission in Chinese of plaintiff as proof. Mr. Pollard objected to these being taken at face value, and he asked the Court to examine them. Examination continued.—On the morning of the 25th of September, witness was in temporary command of the *Chin-shan*. She was lying off the Canton house in the Canton river, passing with colors hoisted. The plaintiff, who was in temporary command of the *Chin-shan*, was passing the superior officer, who was absent, that if the *Kin-shan* passed that morning without showing her colors, a gun was to be fired. These orders were carried out by the plaintiff, and as soon as the gun was fired the *Kin-shan* was observed to stop and her machinery, and least towards the *Chin-shan*. This not being the intention with which the gun was fired, plaintiff lowered a boat from the vessel and went on board the *Kin-shan*. The boat was manned by seven men from the gun-boat in uniform. On boarding the steamer, plaintiff was met by the chief officer, who directed him to the cabin on the deck above. On going to the defendant, some conversation took place, when defendant immediately headed for the steamer to be turned round, and at full speed. Plaintiff attempted to leave the steamer, but was stopped by the first officer, who laid his hand on plaintiff's shoulder, and said plaintiff had been detained on board two hours. Plaintiff was taken to the police court, or even handed over to the police. On the return on board of the *Kin-shan*, plaintiff asked if he could go, if he did not wish to be taken to Canton. From this statement of facts Mr. Haythorn contended that this arrest was illegal, and further, that the defendant was liable to be punished, unless it was a felony, any arrest by a private citizen was illegal. In support of this contention Mr. Haythorn cited authorities on the subject of arrest. The arrest was, however, made when plaintiff went on board the steamer, but it did not come within the jurisdiction of this court until the *Kin-shan* entered the limits of the harbor, as soon, however, as he entered the limits of this harbor he was bound to put his prisoner on shore, even if he had to lower a boat to do it and pull a number of miles. He could not detain the plaintiff an instant after he entered the harbor, or of the harbor and if he did so he was detaining him unlawfully and without proper authority. It was not necessary for him now

to go into the question whether the *Chin-shan* was a British ship or not, in what she did, but it certainly was in accordance with the terms of the charter, and the defendant was liable to be punished. Mr. Ginn asked if it would not be well to make an appropriation for the reception of the Duke of Edinburgh, who he understood would visit the Colony in January of next year. The Governor said he had no official information of this. How much would Mr. Ginn put? Mr. Ginn said \$20,000. The Governor said he thought it would be much better, perhaps, in the present uncertainty as to the movements of the Duke of Edinburgh, that the vote should be left for a future period, and he suggested the appropriation be appropriated to the Legislative Council a committee of reception, as they could then discuss the whole matter when it should come up. Questions of expenditure under the authority of the Council, as a reception committee, would be in the most appropriate hands. The matter was accordingly left then, and the Council adjourned sine die.

Mr. Haythorn then offered the commission in Chinese of plaintiff as proof. Mr. Pollard objected to these being taken at face value, and he asked the Court to examine them. Examination continued.—On the morning of the 25th of September, witness was in temporary command of the *Chin-shan*. She was lying off the Canton house in the Canton river, passing with colors hoisted. The plaintiff, who was in temporary command of the *Chin-shan*, was passing the superior officer, who was absent, that if the *Kin-shan* passed that morning without showing her colors, a gun was to be fired. These orders were carried out by the plaintiff, and as soon as the gun was fired the *Kin-shan* was observed to stop and her machinery, and least towards the *Chin-shan*. This not being the intention with which the gun was fired, plaintiff lowered a boat from the vessel and went on board the *Kin-shan*. The boat was manned by seven men from the gun-boat in uniform. On boarding the steamer, plaintiff was met by the chief officer, who directed him to the cabin on the deck above. On going to the defendant, some conversation took place, when defendant immediately headed for the steamer to be turned round, and at full speed. Plaintiff attempted to leave the steamer, but was stopped by the first officer, who laid his hand on plaintiff's shoulder, and said plaintiff had been detained on board two hours. Plaintiff was taken to the police court, or even handed over to the police. On the return on board of the *Kin-shan*, plaintiff asked if he could go, if he did not wish to be taken to Canton. From this statement of facts Mr. Haythorn contended that this arrest was illegal, and further, that the defendant was liable to be punished, unless it was a felony, any arrest by a private citizen was illegal. In support of this contention Mr. Haythorn cited authorities on the subject of arrest. The arrest was, however, made when plaintiff went on board the steamer, but it did not come within the jurisdiction of this court until the *Kin-shan* entered the limits of the harbor, as soon, however, as he entered the limits of this harbor he was bound to put his prisoner on shore, even if he had to lower a boat to do it and pull a number of miles. He could not detain the plaintiff an instant after he entered the harbor, or of the harbor and if he did so he was detaining him unlawfully and without proper authority. It was not necessary for him now

to go into the question whether the *Chin-shan* was a British ship or not, in what she did, but it certainly was in accordance with the terms of the charter, and the defendant was liable to be punished. Mr. Ginn asked if it would not be well to make an appropriation for the reception of the Duke of Edinburgh, who he understood would visit the Colony in January of next year. The Governor said he had no official information of this. How much would Mr. Ginn put? Mr. Ginn said \$20,000. The Governor said he thought it would be much better, perhaps, in the present uncertainty as to the movements of the Duke of Edinburgh, that the vote should be left for a future period, and he suggested the appropriation be appropriated to the Legislative Council a committee of reception, as they could then discuss the whole matter when it should come up. Questions of expenditure under the authority of the Council, as a reception committee, would be in the most appropriate hands. The matter was accordingly left then, and the Council adjourned sine die.

Mr. Haythorn then offered the commission in Chinese of plaintiff as proof. Mr. Pollard objected to these being taken at face value, and he asked the Court to examine them. Examination continued.—On the morning of the 25th of September, witness was in temporary command of the *Chin-shan*. She was lying off the Canton house in the Canton river, passing with colors hoisted. The plaintiff, who was in temporary command of the *Chin-shan*, was passing the superior officer, who was absent, that if the *Kin-shan* passed that morning without showing her colors, a gun was to be fired. These orders were carried out by the plaintiff, and as soon as the gun was fired the *Kin-shan* was observed to stop and her machinery, and least towards the *Chin-shan*. This not being the intention with which the gun was fired, plaintiff lowered a boat from the vessel and went on board the *Kin-shan*. The boat was manned by seven men from the gun-boat in uniform. On boarding the steamer, plaintiff was met by the chief officer, who directed him to the cabin on the deck above. On going to the defendant, some conversation took place, when defendant immediately headed for the steamer to be turned round, and at full speed. Plaintiff attempted to leave the steamer, but was stopped by the first officer, who laid his hand on plaintiff's shoulder, and said plaintiff had been detained on board two hours. Plaintiff was taken to the police court, or even handed over to the police. On the return on board of the *Kin-shan*, plaintiff asked if he could go, if he did not wish to be taken to Canton. From this statement of facts Mr. Haythorn contended that this arrest was illegal, and further, that the defendant was liable to be punished, unless it was a felony, any arrest by a private citizen was illegal. In support of this contention Mr. Haythorn cited authorities on the subject of arrest. The arrest was, however, made when plaintiff went on board the steamer, but it did not come within the jurisdiction of this court until the *Kin-shan* entered the limits of the harbor, as soon, however, as he entered the limits of this harbor he was bound to put his prisoner on shore, even if he had to lower a boat to do it and pull a number of miles. He could not detain the plaintiff an instant after he entered the harbor, or of the harbor and if he did so he was detaining him unlawfully and without proper authority. It was not necessary for him now

to go into the question whether the *Chin-shan* was a British ship or not, in what she did, but it certainly was in accordance with the terms of the charter, and the defendant was liable to be punished. Mr. Ginn asked if it would not be well to make an appropriation for the reception of the Duke of Edinburgh, who he understood would visit the Colony in January of next year. The Governor said he had no official information of this. How much would Mr. Ginn put? Mr. Ginn said \$20,000. The Governor said he thought it would be much better, perhaps, in the present uncertainty as to the movements of the Duke of Edinburgh, that the vote should be left for a future period, and he suggested the appropriation be appropriated to the Legislative Council a committee of reception, as they could then discuss the whole matter when it should come up. Questions of expenditure under the authority of the Council, as a reception committee, would be in the most appropriate hands. The matter was accordingly left then, and the Council adjourned sine die.

Mr. Haythorn then offered the commission in Chinese of plaintiff as proof. Mr. Pollard objected to these being taken at face value, and he asked the Court to examine them. Examination continued.—On the morning of the 25th of September, witness was in temporary command of the *Chin-shan*. She was lying off the Canton house in the Canton river, passing with colors hoisted. The plaintiff, who was in temporary command of the *Chin-shan*, was passing the superior officer, who was absent, that if the *Kin-shan* passed that morning without showing her colors, a gun was to be fired. These orders were carried out by the plaintiff, and as soon as the gun was fired the *Kin-shan* was observed to stop and her machinery, and least towards the *Chin-shan*. This not being the intention with which the gun was fired, plaintiff lowered a boat from the vessel and went on board the *Kin-shan*. The boat was manned by seven men from the gun-boat in uniform. On boarding the steamer, plaintiff was met by the chief officer, who directed him to the cabin on the deck above. On going to the defendant, some conversation took place, when defendant immediately headed for the steamer to be turned round, and at full speed. Plaintiff attempted to leave the steamer, but was stopped by the first officer, who laid his hand on plaintiff's shoulder, and said plaintiff had been detained on board two hours. Plaintiff was taken to the police court, or even handed over to the police. On the return on board of the *Kin-shan*, plaintiff asked if he could go, if he did not wish to be taken to Canton. From this statement of facts Mr. Haythorn contended that this arrest was illegal, and further, that the defendant was liable to be punished, unless it was a felony, any arrest by a private citizen was illegal. In support of this contention Mr. Haythorn cited authorities on the subject of arrest. The arrest was, however, made when plaintiff went on board the steamer, but it did not come within the jurisdiction of this court until the *Kin-shan* entered the limits of the harbor, as soon, however, as he entered the limits of this harbor he was bound to put his prisoner on shore, even if he had to lower a boat to do it and pull a number of miles. He could not detain the plaintiff an instant after he entered the harbor, or of the harbor and if he did so he was detaining him unlawfully and without proper authority. It was not necessary for him now

to go into the question whether the *Chin-shan* was a British ship or not, in what she did, but it certainly was in accordance with the terms of the charter, and the defendant was liable to be punished. Mr. Ginn asked if it would not be well to make an appropriation for the reception of the Duke of Edinburgh, who he understood would visit the Colony in January of next year. The Governor said he had no official information of this. How much would Mr. Ginn put? Mr. Ginn said \$20,000. The Governor said he thought it would be much better, perhaps, in the present uncertainty as to the movements of the Duke of Edinburgh, that the vote should be left for a future period, and he suggested the appropriation be appropriated to the Legislative Council a committee of reception, as they could then discuss the whole matter when it should come up. Questions of expenditure under the authority of the Council, as a reception committee, would be in the most appropriate hands. The matter was accordingly left then, and the Council adjourned sine die.

Mr. Haythorn then offered the commission in Chinese of plaintiff as proof. Mr. Pollard objected to these being taken at face value, and he asked the Court to examine them. Examination continued.—On the morning of the 25th of September, witness was in temporary command of the *Chin-shan*. She was lying off the Canton house in the Canton river, passing with colors hoisted. The plaintiff, who was in temporary command of the *Chin-shan*, was passing the superior officer, who was absent, that if the *Kin-shan* passed that morning without showing her colors, a gun was to be fired. These orders were carried out by the plaintiff, and as soon as the gun was fired the *Kin-shan* was observed to stop and her machinery, and least towards the *Chin-shan*. This not being the intention with which the gun was fired, plaintiff lowered a boat from the vessel and went on board the *Kin-shan*. The boat was manned by seven men from the gun-boat in uniform. On boarding the steamer, plaintiff was met by the chief officer, who directed him to the cabin on the deck above. On going to the defendant, some conversation took place, when defendant immediately headed for the steamer to be turned round, and at full speed. Plaintiff attempted to leave the steamer, but was stopped by the first officer, who laid his hand on plaintiff's shoulder, and said plaintiff had been detained on board two hours. Plaintiff was taken to the police court, or even handed over to the police. On the return on board of the *Kin-shan*, plaintiff asked if he could go, if he did not wish to be taken to Canton. From this statement of facts Mr. Haythorn contended that this arrest was illegal, and further, that the defendant was liable to be punished, unless it was a felony, any arrest by a private citizen was illegal. In support of this contention Mr. Haythorn cited authorities on the subject of arrest. The arrest was, however, made when plaintiff went on board the steamer, but it did not come within the jurisdiction of this court until the *Kin-shan* entered the limits of the harbor, as soon, however, as he entered the limits of this harbor he was bound to put his prisoner on shore, even if he had to lower a boat to do it and pull a number of miles. He could not detain the plaintiff an instant after he entered the harbor, or of the harbor and if he did so he was detaining him unlawfully and without proper authority. It was not necessary for him now

to go into the question whether the *Chin-shan* was a British ship or not, in what she did, but it certainly was in accordance with the terms of the charter, and the defendant was liable to be punished. Mr. Ginn asked if it would not be well to make an appropriation for the reception of the Duke of Edinburgh, who he understood would visit the Colony in January of next year. The Governor said he had no official information of this. How much would Mr. Ginn put? Mr. Ginn said \$20,000. The Governor said he thought it would be much better, perhaps, in the present uncertainty as to the movements of the Duke of Edinburgh, that the vote should be left for a future period, and he suggested the appropriation be appropriated to the Legislative Council a committee of reception, as they could then discuss the whole matter when it should come up. Questions of expenditure under the authority of the Council, as a reception committee, would be in the most appropriate hands. The matter was accordingly left then, and the Council adjourned sine die.

Mr. Haythorn then offered the commission in Chinese of plaintiff as proof. Mr. Pollard objected to these being taken at face value, and he asked the Court to examine them. Examination continued.—On the morning of the 25th of September, witness was in temporary command of the *Chin-shan*. She was lying off the Canton house in the Canton river, passing with colors hoisted. The plaintiff, who was in temporary command of the *Chin-shan*, was passing the superior officer, who was absent, that if the *Kin-shan* passed that morning without showing her colors, a gun was to be fired. These orders were carried out by the plaintiff, and as soon as the gun was fired the *Kin-shan* was observed to stop and her machinery, and least towards the *Chin-shan*. This not being the intention with which the gun was fired, plaintiff lowered a boat from the vessel and went on board the *Kin-shan*. The boat was manned by seven men from the gun-boat in uniform. On boarding the steamer, plaintiff was met by the chief officer, who directed him to the cabin on the deck above. On going to the defendant, some conversation took place, when defendant immediately headed for the steamer to be turned round, and at full speed. Plaintiff attempted to leave the steamer, but was stopped by the first officer, who laid his hand on plaintiff's shoulder, and said plaintiff had been detained on board two hours. Plaintiff was taken to the police court, or even handed over to the police. On the return on board of the *Kin-shan*, plaintiff asked if he could go, if he did not wish to be taken to Canton. From this statement of facts Mr. Haythorn contended that this arrest was illegal, and further, that the defendant was liable to be punished, unless it was a felony, any arrest by a private citizen was illegal. In support of this contention Mr. Haythorn cited authorities on the subject of arrest. The arrest was, however, made when plaintiff went on board the steamer, but it did not come within the jurisdiction of this court until the *Kin-shan* entered the limits of the harbor, as soon, however, as he entered the limits of this harbor he was bound to put his prisoner on shore, even if he had to lower a boat to do it and pull a number of miles. He could not detain the plaintiff an instant after he entered the harbor, or of the harbor and if he did so he was detaining him unlawfully and without proper authority. It was not necessary for him now

The threat to the 21stis shot must also be remembered.

Captain Beane's eye was called home by the Great steamer, on the morning of the 21st. Captain Beane was said to be first officer of the U. S. S. Gunboat. He said he was first officer of the ship, what he boat was called. He replied that his captain had given him orders to fire if the *Albatross* did not return in passing. Upon the 21st, the gunboat had no return shot until after she had fired the gun.

Mr. Hooper said the question appeared to him to be one of fact. He said there were those any in question partly of her and partly of the *Albatross*. Mr. Maylor said, "I think the question was the question of non-combatant in the harbor. If there was no imprisonment, however, in harbor, he must admit that he had no case.

Chief of Attorney.

The Accident on Board the P. M. S. "Hermann"

A most deplorable accident happened on board the German liner Hermann, during her recent voyage up North, which resulted in the death of no less than seven men. In Indian Bay, on the 22nd and 23rd inst., the bottom of the P. M. S. was struck by a submerged rock, whereby a forward boiler blew out, killing three Chinese stokers on the spot. Another died after following day, and a fourth died two days after. Three days after the explosion, ten were affected, and George O. Hermann, first assistant engineer, after lunging in vain for sixteen days, died. The other six Chinese, Mr. Barnard and five Chinamen were buried in Coates Bay. Mr. Hermann, the Danish owner, was brought ashore by the ship's doctor, who was also an engineer, as he feared this last great act from over the wheels subsequent voyage, from the station and the north to the coast, the produce of the district. *Bremer Express.*

[illegible]

Formosa.

[illegible][illegible]

Oct. 19, OCEAN BRIDE, Brit-
ton, Chéfoo, 9th Oct.
CAPTAIN.

Oct. 19, INDIAN, Brit. bk. 8
Swatow, 18th Octob-
-NSEE.

Oct. 19, SUWONADA, Amer.
Shanghai 16th Oct-b
HEARD & Co.

Oct. 19, PROSPERITY, Siam.
Vornath, Chéfoo, 20th
-CHINESE.

Oct. 20, ANN ADAMSON, Brit.
Hutton, Sunderland, 16
D. LARPAE & Co.

Oct. 20, YENSO, Brit. sh. 5
show, 17th Octob-
Swatow, 19th, Gener d

Clearance
AT THE HARBOR MASTER'S OFFICE
OCTOBER 1904
Chew-Sye, for Bangkok.
Cornelia, for Yokohama.
San Lorenzo, for Manila.

20 Chinese.

Report

(In the arrivals yesterday Zebu was inserted. This was vessel having arrived.)

The British bark *Oceanic* 15th October, reports that fine variable wind and fine weather breeze from North to N.E. in

The British steamer *Despatch* 17th October, reports blowing and fine weather. The steamer, and the starling *Shan* were at Swatow. Disturbance at Tamsui, and H.M. gun-boat *Arctostich* had

Shipping in Ningpo. In
Bantik, Siamese boats
Union, British boats

THE Office of the
"BAKERY," is re
Messrs. MADEWEN & C
dressed "WANOHI ST
be promptly attende
Fancy BISCUIT and

3m 1679. Hönkeng.